### TOWN OF STURBRIDGE, MA CONSERVATION COMMISSION

## Thursday, August 21, 2014 Sturbridge Center Office Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Meeting Called to Order: 6:00 pm

Quorum Check: Confirmed

Members Present: Ed Goodwin (EG), Chairman Members Absent: None

David Barnicle (DB), Vice Chair Donna M. Grehl (DG)\* Calvin Montigny (CM) Joseph Kowalski (JK)

Others Present: Glenn Colburn (CG), Conservation Agent

Cindy Sowa Forgit, Conservation Clerk (Absent)

Applicants and/or Audience Members: Lynne Girouard, Jeffery Langevin, Jacob Murray, Thomas More, MaryLee More, Gary Kellaher, Scott Goddard, Matthew Watsky, Don Fairbrother, Carol Derby, Carol Nielsen, Ky Nguyen, Brian and Tracy Milam, John G. Radner, Leonard Jalbert, Randy &

Mary E. Redetzke, Tom and Kelly Peck, Mike Loin, Olga Restrepo

#### **Committee Updates:**

CPA – (EG) Did not meet.

• Trail Committee - (DB) No update.

Lakes Advisory Committee – (DG) No update.

**Approval of Minutes:** July 12, 2014 – Approved: DB 2<sup>nd</sup>: CM

Walk-Ins: None

6:05 pm Request for Determination of Applicability. Town of Sturbridge Recreation Dept, Lynn Girouard and Jeffery Langevin, Tantansqua Youth Football – 2 light poles being donated, to be used at Turner Field.

Documents Submitted: Tear Sheet and the Abutters Notification.

Agent Briefing: RDA at Turner Field, work falls within the Riverfront area. Pole specs: 30'tall, buried 6' into the ground w/ 2 LED flood lights. Poles to be installed on the outer edge of the outfield; on existing turf, as per the submitted plan. Excess fill will be disposed of property.

JL: These poles were donated through Tantasqua Youth Football. These (2) 20 year old used poles, have no creosote on them. The electrical inspector wants a junction box with a conduit to a pole that will run to the generator (owned by the league). Granite City Electric donated 3 lights = \$1000. This is a practice field; that we need to supply lighting. We have been renting lights which is costly. The LED lights (low wattage) turn on w/generator, no need to warm up light like the older vapor lights – use less energy

Light located in left center field, we don't currently use therefore it will be removed.

These proposed (2) additional lights are going into grassed area but may need to trim trees, no tree removal needed. Will be using a mini excavator to put poles in, but still working out people/logistics to get these installed.

GC: Great project, no impact seen. Suggested to commission that the work is subject to WPA and the Sturbridge Bylaw, thus recommend to issue a Positive Determination #5 for work subject to Town Bylaw and a Negative Determination #2 for work in a Riverfront area. Since work won't affect that area, no NOI needs to be filed.

Motion: To issue a Positive Determination #5 for work subject to Town Bylaw and a Negative Determination #2 for work in a Riverfront area. To include the following in the Order of Conditions: the removal of a light in left field and the spoils (excess soils/gravel from pole holes) can be spread out as long as its 200' from the River or it will be removed from site: DB 2<sup>nd</sup> DG. Vote 5-0

6:10 pm Notice of Intent, DEP#300-908; Lynn Girouard, Town of Sturbridge, Recreation Department. and Jacob Murray, Waterfield Design Group. Construction of recreational fields in the Riverfront area and flood zone "A" located at 69 Rt. 84, Town Barn.

• Documents Submitted: Tear Sheet and the Abutters Notification.

- Scope: Located behind the DPW Building. The site contains the Town Barn Field, existing storage, gravel quarry, soccer field and tball field all existing. All located within the 100' & 200' buffer zones, wetlands, 100' and 200' Riverfront. The Army Corp has flowage rights. NHESP has been notified but no comment has been received from them
- Proposed site: a new soccer and little league fields, Bauchi courts, basketball court, a small playground, Concessions stand w/o water (dry). 99 gravel parking spaces. Structures outside the 50', all other disturbances are outside the 25' for bordering vegetated wetlands.
- Stormwater all gravel, swale direct to (2) detention basins: (1) at the baseball field and (1) underground infiltration system at the soccer field. The 2011 tornado has left a lot of shredded trees in the area.
- Met with land use committee, and moved the storage pile for DPW out of flood plain. Concessions stands were also discussed. The elevation of the soccer field is 597', flood plane is at 572', and baseball field is at 587'. DB concerned with grassy growth of detention basins. JM: They are 5'deep basins. Materials at the edges of basins are soil, sandy loom (used from the site). Can crush ledge coming from site, to use in basin to prevent overgrowth. Ledge removal spans from 1' 10'.

Comments, Questions from the Commissioners: GC: no issues at this time. EG: Requested a site visit and continuation to next meeting. CM: Who is responsible for maintenance? LG: Will have to have its own maintenance crew as the DPW resources are taxed at this time. CM: Any other vegetation being installed? JM: Yes, ornamental trees (maples) and shrubs around the basketball, playground and soccer areas. Tornado area will remain. CM: Any invasive? JM: Not sure but EG states yes. Public Comments: None. GC: requested 5 hard copies of plans and 5 copies of the NOI for commissioners due to the complexity of the project. EG: Requested appx. marking stakes in the ground. CM: Irrigation for field LG: A conduit will be installed but no water will be connected at this time. Can't use surface water as we can have no storage of storm water per regulations. Requested a continuation to the Sept 4<sup>th</sup> meeting at 7pm. Site visit: Next Tues morning, 9am at site with Lynn and Jacob. Vote: 5-0

6:30 pm Notice Of Intent DEP#300-910, 114 Leadmine Lane, Mathew Watsky, Owner and Scott Goddard, Goddard Consulting, Wetlands Consultant Installation of a deck/porch in the Buffer Zone.

- Documents Submitted: Tear Sheet and Abutter Notification
- Scope: Stone wall and exterior stairs (located off the kitchen) are unstable with a steep slope and erosion in this area. Proposal is to capture the roof run off from house with the proposed screen porch, and additional capture by the infiltration area under proposed deck. Currently it is just lawn now, but sheet flow goes into the lake. The infiltration area will be of washed stone. Access to the lake from the house is a steep eroded slope, thus by replacing with a staircase from kitchen or off the back of house from the deck will help with erosion and personal safety. No trees will be removed. An arborist has visited the site and will be submitting report soon. The deck would be built around the existing tree. No vegetation will be removed. Mark Ferrell, Green Hill Engineering, with a 1" storm event; it's calculated at 88.75 cubic feet of water, which is an improvement to solve the current sheet flow condition. Pee stone, 4" deep, will be put in the ground so to further aid in the infiltration into the ground. The retaining wall will reuse the old stone from existing stairs and wall to contain and retain the pee stone.

GC: Foundation on screen porch? MW: Sonitubes like the deck. The old foundation will be removed. DG: Along the old path, any plantings? MW: Part will be converted by the screen porch and will re-vegetate the rest. DB: Suggested any disturbed area to be seeded, loomed or shrubs installed w/in 30 days be added to the OOC. Public comments: None Motion: To close the public hearing and issue and OOC under the MA WPA and Town of Sturbridge Bylaw based on the approved plans dated 7.15.14 and special conditions to include: re-vegetation of the area after construction: DB 2<sup>nd</sup>: CM. Discussion: CM concern with red oak tree and destruction of root system. MW: Can we include a condition stating CM's concern? GC: Yes. DG: Straw waddles for erosion controls. V ote: 5-0

**6:45** pm Request for Determination of Applicability, 38-40 Hamilton Road. Mike Loin, Bertin Engineering representing Ky Nguyen, Owner. Request a wetland crossing for a Perc on 2 lots located at 38-40 Hamilton Road. The majority of this parcel, along Hamilton road is Bordering Vegetated Wetland. There is a small upland area at the back of the lot. The upland portion is the proposed site of a Single Family home and septic system. Concern lies with the access across wetlands to do a perc test. Site visit conducted previously. We can put in a driveway that will meet the standards with WPA, but that can be determined with a final design plan.

Motion: To keep the public hearing open but issue a positive determination and not allow access for perc test into a wetland, thus rejecting the proposal: DB 2<sup>nd</sup>: DG Discussion: DG: What is length of disturbance? GC: 400' in a 50' Buffer Zone to the BVW. Fill for the septic system is 20' from BVW. Constraints with BZ around the house, concerns me. If Commission approves the perc test, I would want the applicant to know that there is no guarantee of a house approval.

Audience comments: KN: The assessor's office told them lot is buildable before we bought and have been taxed for a buildable parcel. We were denied abatement because you can get a driveway in. ML: Wetlands delineations were different in the 80's

when this was divided. The original plan shows no wetlands then. Applicant is asking for the ability to explore the possibly of making this work. Vote: 5-0 to deny project. GC: Since this is a RDA, I suggest to issue a Positive Determination #5 as work is subject to the Town of Sturbridge Bylaw and a Positive #3 as work is subject under the WPA and said work requires the filing of NOI. So moved: DB 2<sup>nd</sup>: CM Vote: 5-0

7:00 pm Notice of Intent, DEP# 300-904, 158 Lane Eight, Carl Neilson, ESS Group representing Carl F Neilson and Carol Derby, Owners. Request to construct: an overhanging deck and shoreline retaining wall, install storm water improvements on bank with work in the Buffer Zone. DG recused herself.

- Documents Submitted: Tear Sheet and Abutter notification.
- Scope: Site visit was conducted. The property has a deep 180' long gully that drops about 20' from the lake to beach. Water moves down driveway and creates an erosion problem to the beach. In the past, repairs and crushed stone haven't worked. Proposing a 3-4" earthen berm and a dry well to redirect the water, which may augment about 30' of berm. The dry well will collect driveway and roof run off. The goal is to slow water down. The total length of the berm is 160' at the shoreline. An old stone wall creates a flat spot. Requesting to put in 4 pilings, located behind the wall to support a deck, which will extended out. It's a wood slotted deck to allow light and water. It will be elevated 6" above the existing platform that is there. The wall is starting to collapse due to ice. Calculations on the infiltration basin, submitted to GC as requested. Based on a 1" storm = 2000 gallons of run off.

DB: Views this project as two parts: #1 improvement to water run off with drainage near the house and #2: the beach area with the overhanging deck DB: Where is the drywell located? CN: Near the driveway.

Commission was in agreement that the drainage improvements are needed and agreed with plan for upper part of the project #1. The Commission is concern with deck location and size as it's a structure in a Buffer Zone. DB: suggest to meet a grandfathered clause, with more porous pavers in front, replenish the sand, and not increase the footprint. The commission asked the applicant to submit an engineered plan to show retaining wall repair to the same existing height and to be constructed with resistant to ice. GC: Encourage #1 of the project. Drywell specs were received; to be grated on top. Fill? CN: 52 cubic yards. GC is concerned with the berm. CN: It's really acting as a speed bump. GC is concerned with deck, and concrete block wall. Discourage in allowing this digging of 4' down. A roof over a deck is now a structure which is not allowed within the 50' no-build buffer zone.

Motion: Commission agrees part #1 of the project. Part#2, applicant can only repair the wall for stability, wall must not be reconstructed. Wall must remain in existing location. To add the engineered stone wall in Order of Conditions: EG 2<sup>nd</sup>: CM. Discussion: All work done by hand. Wants to veneer the cinderblock with stone. CM: Concern with having to repair again. Audience: Randy & Mary E. Redetzke, neighbor. Want to see how the wall will be constructed. Vote: 4-0. The OOC will include: the upper portion will remain. The lower portion and exiting footprint must remain in length, foot and height. Any reconstruction of a new wall will need an engineered plan to resist ice flow, and to be reviewed by Commission. Prior to correcting the wall, applicant must appear before Commission, but no new paperwork needs to be filed. Keep public hearing open to review plan prior to issuing an OOC. GC: Drywell size and capacity? CN: Handle 1" storm. Requested a continuation to September 4 at 7:30pm to review the engineered plan. Request Granted.

7:15 pm Request for Determination of Applicability, Brian and Tracy Milam, 39 Draper Woods, install an in-ground pool within the 200' Buffer Zone.

• Documents submitted: plans

Dimensions on the plan were based on drawings received when he bought the house in 2009. Pool and deck would be put into an existing lawn. There is a level spot in the lawn then there is a 1 % drop off area into the brush.

GC: No clearing will be done outside the yard. EG: Wetlands in the back yard. There is a 25', no touch and a 50' no structure. A Pool is a structure. The slope in the woods may encroach into the wetlands. GC: The plan is from the Draper Woods subdivision plan, and a limit zone line was drawn on the plan by DB. The work would be at the edge of 100' Buffer Zone. DB: Any work beyond the hay bale line, or the shed, would be at the line. One of 4 trees is dying. Site visit, can tape the distance from the road. Request continuation to meeting on September 4<sup>th</sup> at 7:45. GC will schedule site visit.

7:30 pm Request for Determination of Applicability, 152 Lake Rd, Michael Loin filling in for Jason Debois, Bertin Engineering representing Charles and Barbara Callahan Knock down existing cottage and rebuild a cottage within the 200' Buffer Zone. (cont. 7/10/14 meeting)

Additions requested by Commission per the last meeting:

- along the drip strip of house, install a crest of stone 12"w x 8" deep
- one tree was removed due to construction, replaced and relocated to back section of the lot
- add an additional erosion control barrier, therefore a secondary barrier has been added.

Commission wants 2:1 replacement, so applicant will add a second tree on the site.

Motion: Issue a Positive Determination #6 as work is subject to Town's Bylaw but not subject to the WPA. Issue a Positive Determination #5, as work is subject to review per Town By aw: DB 2<sup>nd</sup>: DG. Discussion: None Public: Comment: None Vote 5-0

**7:45 pm Notice Of Intent DEP#300-907, 495 Leadmine Road, Len Jalbert representing, Martha Regard,** Request to build a garage in the Buffer Zone, addition to a single family home

- Documents submitted: Tear Sheet, Abutter Notice and Screw Sonitube System brochure.
- Scope: Addition onto an existing structure of 850SF and build a detached garage of 850 SF. Serviced by private septic and well. House will be built with piers, no excavation for the foundation of the house. Addition will be mounted onto screw sonitubes tied into ledge below. This is new construction in these parts, but successfully used elsewhere. CM: This is the same type of construction system used at 66 Mt. Dan Road. One major tree, in the northeasterly corner, along with 8 trees in garage area will be removed. All but one tree is outside the 200' buffer. There will be no blasting. Pinned footing used for the garage.

DG: Does the 8% slope bylaw apply here? LJ: Some is in the 8%. DG: Any changes in the buffer zone? GC: Can look at that so it won't impact the resource area, but LJ has presented a low impact solution in this steep area.

DG requested applicant install a drip strip around the garage to prevent any erosion.

Motion: to close public hearing, and approve and accept proposal of the Notice of Intent DEP#300-907 with the addition of drip strip around garage and the tree at house will be flush cut noted in the Order Of Conditions: DG 2<sup>nd</sup>: DB Discussion: None. Public Comment: None Vote: 5-0

8:00 pm Notice of Intent, DEP #300-905 Leonard Jalbert, Jalbert Engineering representing Blackington Building, Main Street. Awaiting DEP number and comments from DEP & Natural Heritage

LJ: DEP number was assigned, no comments from DEP. Natural Heritage commented that it will not affect habitat and won't result in a take of species.

Motion: Commission has received the number & comments therefore we can close the public hearing: DB 2<sup>nd</sup>: DG Vote: 5-0

## 8:15 pm Request for Determination, John Radner, 140 Lake Road. Installations of a foundation drain the Buffer Zone (cont. from 7/10/14 meeting).

Site visit and saw repairs to 60 year old house, basement and perimeter drain.

- Adding concrete and piping in the floor in cellar which is now dirt floor. There is no drainage for the foundation.
- Previously put plastic and hay bales. The front was covered with plastic tarps and trench covered in case of rain.
- All work by hand.
- Drainage pipe wrapped with 3 layers of fabric, so no siltation would occur to the lake.
- No plan exists. He closed the trench in the front of the house, but basement is not done yet. Water flows under cottage from the hill, not from the lake.
- DB not comfortable in voting since there is no plan. The applicant agreed to return with a sketch of what was done and how he will finish project, show the outlet pipe.
- GC confirmed with applicant that work was subject to WPA and Bylaw, put on the RDA. Tear sheet (applicant will mail to GC) and abutters submitted.
- Ratner to send copy of the RDA to DEP.
- DG wants to discuss what's going on across the street at next meeting.

Continued to the next meeting (Sept 4<sup>th</sup> at 8pm) and applicant will bring the plan.

# 8:30 pm Notice of Intent DEP#300-911, 413 & 419 Main Street, Mike Loin, Bertin Engineering representing, Dr. Restrepo, Yervant Realty. CM recused self-due to work done on property.

- NOI for parking lot and redevelopment of site.
- Applicant didn't know the original attorney withdrew the original OOC, which the contractor assumed he was working
  under. Work is going on under that OOC for Pioneer Brewery. Work included: the former bakery building,
  cobblestone patio/sidewalk, paved HP area.
- Here tonight to request a OOC to make this previous work legal. COC was asked for in 2012 as work was never started. The Commission gave it as work never started.
- Changes from original plan: Added erosion controls in the area, paving was removed from the front which is now wood chips/mulch. Increased water runoff from sidewalk cobblestone walk and brick area. Drainage is adequate to handle this run off.
- Erosion controls are straw waddles. DB requested removal of the silt fence near the drainage basin, as it's no longer functioning.

- Tear sheet and abutter notification submitted.
- DEP has no comments. We haven't not heard back yet from Natural Heritage.
- GC will follow up on. Operation Maintenance plan: of catch basin to include in NOI. GC requested maintenance ASAP as 4' sump is filled to the top and to check berm.

Motion to close the public hearing and issue an OOC under the MA Wetlands Protection Act and the Town of Sturbridge Bylaws; to approve plans dated 8.15.14 Rev 2. The OOC will include GC comments: DB, 2<sup>nd</sup> DG Discussion: None. Vote: 5-0

**8:45** pm Notice of Intent DEP#300-909, 57 Bennetts Road, Mark Ferrell, Green Hill Engineering representing Andrew & Diane Garello. Septic System replacement to bring into compliance.

- Current Septic passes under the road. Will redo the pipe under road, and install a new tank/ sand filter, recirculating system. Due to the limited size of this lot, this system was chosen as it's designed for smaller sized lots. This type of system is frequently used in Rhode Island.
- Wetlands are in the area. Straw waddles will be installed on both sides of house, and down below to catch drainage between the road and construction.
- If needed, they may have to remove hemlocks for tank placement. Commission will want a 2:1 replacement for any tree removed.
- The lot is 16' higher than the lake level. System will be a few feet higher than existing grade; raised a little. Separate to ground water is fine.
- GC: Will include in OOC, excess fill be disposed offsite, existing tank be filled as per Title V state law regs, need plan of tree removal, any maintenance plan? MF: 2 times a year to check alarms, flush lines, check pumps. GC wants maintenance plan in OOC as on-going condition of this special system.
- MF to get GC recommendations on the maintenance of the system.

Motion to accept proposed NOI DEP#300-909, proposed with a rail fence, maintenance operations plan, and removal old tank. DG 2<sup>nd</sup>: DB Discussion: None Vote: 5-0

**9:00 pm Notice of Intent DEP#300-937, 21 New Boston Road (cont. from 4.17.14)** – Request a continuation to the next meeting to Sept 4<sup>th</sup>. We will need to request at the next meeting what meeting the applicant wants to continue too. ZBA allowed applicant to withdraw and now can resubmit plan to ZBA to request relief on setback.

Letter permit: 118 Leadmine, Gary Kellagher, Various tree removal requests.

- Site visit conducted.
- GC: more trees marked than needing to take out. Intermittent stream on the property line, those trees should stay.
- CM: Not sure arborvitae solution will work. Better off to put Hollies due to density of shade in that location. Concern the arborvitae won't survive.
- GC can go back to remove ribbons on which trees to save with some other commissioners and meet GK on site, make an appointment after 4:30pm. Ones closer to house can be removed,
- Mary Redetzke, suggested a product to use to save hemlocks to save the tree. CM agrees with such products, but these products are not worth applying in this situation.

#### Consensus to conduct another site visit.

Forest cutting plan: Streeter Road, Michael Kearney Trust. Timber salvage of tornado damage.

Agent Briefing: The 1st plan; prepared by Scott Gerrish, had no harvester designated. A 2<sup>nd</sup> plan produced by Tom O'Brien was submitted but had less accuracy. GC concern with 2<sup>nd</sup> plan, as it showed to harvest all and no call for regeneration. State forester, Kate Marquis, agreed with GC and recommend going with the Scott Gerrish plan.

- GC and JK will make a site visit and will discuss this plan. Randy Redetzke, Neighbor: Which plan? GC the plan that shows wetland areas, harvest areas which is the 1<sup>st</sup> plan.
- Scott Hamilton, Redetzke neighbor concern with water runoff as he is located downhill (Streeter Road) from this cutting. Concern with well water contamination. GC: This is a proposed plan.
- Redetzke is concern with west side, no issue with east side. Questioning the NOI, is it still valid as abutters received the O'Brien Plan. GC: No deal signed yet by Rocky Mountain and will confirm this with the State Forester. Redetzke is concerned with wetlands, concern with getting in there due to the tornado damage. Significant rock wall nearby and would hate to see them destroy the wall. This wall is not shown on the plan.
- GC will speak to Marquis to follow up with Redetzke on what plan, and the concern of location of Hall Roads due to existing run off issues with this area, if needs to be redrawn and site visit. GC is a salvage plan, not to cut.

<sup>\*</sup>DG excused herself at this point (10:00 pm), for the remainder of this meeting.

#### Tom More: Status of cutting plan Farquhar Road (19 acres)

Timing: To occur this fall. GC: Spoke with the highway dept. regarding sewer/water lines to your house. It won't be affected. We understand that you approve the landing located in your back yard. Most of the questions have been answered with that proposed landing onto Main Street and there is no foreseen increased run off concern by Kate Marquis, State Forester. The plan will need to be signed off by DCR and BOS prior to starting any work.

#### **Letter Permit: Rita Tetreault 94 South Shore Drive,** remove 1 dead tree.

Site visit, GC appears tree (Hemlock) is dead, not sure that we need replacement due to next to road and other are
other trees around. Consensus to remove tree.

#### Minor Amendment to Order of Conditions, DEP#300-898, Tom and Kelly Peck, 60 South Shore Drive.

- TP what is a Beaver deceiver? DB: Install 3"dia pipe and picks water to dump the other side, so beaver doesn't hear the water running so it won't build a dam.
- GC: changes to the plan as received to ConCom this afternoon:
  - Height of lower wall changed from 42" to 52-58" high. The upper wall changed in height too.
  - o Turbidity curtain in addition to erosion controls, work very well.
  - Catch basin and recharge area has changed
  - Trees added to plan and submitted arborist report, suggested to build wall in front of trees so not to affect them.
  - Also 2' deep x 2' diameter sump was removed as it sat on the septic as per TP. GC asked Alyssa who
    confirmed system was installed as per plan and is accurate.
- Pecks are requesting a minor amendment to OOC. GC feels the turbidity curtain alone would be minor. However, the wall extending into lake, the drainage system, height of the walls, feels these are major changes.
- GC suggests amending the OOC; Pecks to notify abutters and the plan goes to DEP. The commission all agree that the Pecks need to see the building depart on the wall height, recharge area we need specs included on plan, not on separate sheet. DB feels turbidity curtain, DEP needs to know about it as it affects the lake and is in resource area. You are filling a lake, land subject to flooding due to the wall construction, therefore you need flood storage somewhere else to make up for this change. GC suggested moving part of wall to kick back to make up for bump out.

Consensus that Pecks need an amended OOC, must advertise in paper and notify abutters as the plan changed. Continue to next meeting on Sept 4<sup>th</sup>.

**Emergency Certification: DPW, Walker Pond and Wells Park Road.** Failed culvert, to replace in kind. No issues. Commission signed document.

#### **Request for Certificate of Compliance:**

- **DEP#300-896, OSV**. Removed hay bales, visible vegetation. The area is stabilized as noted at the site visit conducted last week. Requesting a complete certification. Commission signed document.
- DEP#300-881, 96 Allen Road, John Elliot. Site visit conducted today. Visible vegetation and the area is stabilized.
   Commission signed document.
- DEP#300-841, 94 Paradise Lane, Allen Pepple. The area is stabilized. Commission signed document.
- DEP#300-811, 14 Birch Street, Evelyn Sullivan. Applicant requested a continuation to next meeting, September 4<sup>th</sup>.

#### **Letter Permits:**

- 62 Mt Dan Road, Dan St. Onge. 6 hemlocks are dead. Letter permit will be issued.
- **88 Westwood** Drive, **Bishop Nawrot.** Removal of 7 trees. A site visit conduced but a lot of confusion on marked trees. GC needs to pull previous OOC to look at intermittent stream location. Any arborist report? No. ConCom will not sign due to outstanding questions.

**Minor Amendment to Order of Conditions, DEP#300-780, Trails committee.** Request to install a beaver deceiver at the drainage ditch as beavers are blocking it up and repair to road as shallow spot. Commission granted permission.

Meeting Adjourned: 11:00 pm Motion: JK 2<sup>nd</sup>: CM Vote: Unanimous Next Meeting: Thursday, September 4, 2014 at 6:00 pm

A copy of tonight's meeting can be found on our Town's website or is available upon request via the Audio Department: 508.347.7267

